TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	
LIST OF FIGURES.	
LIST OF TABLES	
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Problem Statement.	
1.2 Background Information.	
1.3 Research Objectives.	•••
1.4 Organization of the Report	
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Protections against Frost Action.	
2.1.1 Concrete in Cold Weather	
2.1.2 Deterioration of Concrete.	
2.1.2.1 Deterioration line (D-line cracking)	
2.1.2.2 Pattern Cracking.	
2.1.2.3 Spalling.	
2.1.2.4 Scaling	•••
2.1.3 Thawing and Freezing Mechanism.	
2.1.4 Factors Affecting Frost Resistance of Concrete.	· • • •
2.1.4.1 Shrinkage and Moisture Movement of Concrete	· • • •
2.1.4.2 Alkali-Aggregate Reaction.	
2.2 Using Deicing Chemicals.	•••
2.3 Alternative to the Use of Chloride.	
2.4 Insulation against Freezing.	
2.5 Heating Systems	
2.5.1 Heating Using Ground Source Heat Pipes.	
2.5.2 Heating Using Infrared Heat Lamps.	
2.5.3 Heating Using Electric Heating Cables.	
2.5.4 Heating Using Heated Fluids.	

	2.5.5 Electrically Conductive Concrete
2.	6 Previous Experience with Fiber-Reinforced Concrete
2.	7 Conduction of Electricity through Concrete
	2.7.1 Electrical Conduction Models for Concrete
	2.7.2 Equivalent Circuit Models for Cement Paste Systems
СНА	PTER 3 CONDUCTIVE CONCRETE MIX DESIGN
3.	1 Background.
3.	2 Conductive Concrete Mix Design.
	3.2.1 Mix Design by Xie et al. (1995)
	3.2.2 Mix Design by Yehia and Tuan (1998)
3.	3 Material Properties
	3.3.1 Steel Shavings.
	3.3.2 Steel Fiber.
	3.3.3 Other Materials
3.	4 Mixing Procedure
3.	5 Optimization.
	3.5.1 Category 1 and Category 2.
	3.5.2 Category 3
	3.5.2.1 First-Stage Evaluation.
	3.5.2.1.1 Mechanical and Physical Properties
	3.5.2.1.2 Small Slab Heating Experiments
	3.5.2.2 Second-Stage Evaluation.
	3.5.2.2.1 Mechanical Properties
	3.5.2.2.2 Effect of Hydration Time on Electrical Conductivity
	3.5.2.2.3 Slab Heating Tests
	3.5.2.2.4 Conduction of Electricity in Conductive Concrete
	3.5.2.2.5 Slab Heating Rate Comparison – AC vs. DC Power
	3.5.2.2.6 Size Effect
	3.5.2.2.7 Evaluation of the Electric Resistivity

3.5.3 Problems and Solutions	
CHAPTER 4 EVALUATION OF MECHANICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CONDUCTIVE CONCRETE I	MIX
4.1 Background	
4.2 Specimen Fabrication.	
4.3 Mechanical Properties.	
4.3.1 Compressive Strength	
4.3.2 Stress-Strain Relation and Modulus of Elasticity	
4.3.2.1 Stress-Strain Relation.	
4.3.2.2 Modulus of Elasticity	
4.3.3 Flexural Strength.	
4.3.4 Rapid Freeze and Thaw Resistance	
4.3.5 Shrinkage.	
4.4 Physical Properties.	
4.4.1 Permeability	
4.4.2 Thermal Conductivity	
4.4.2.1 Theoretical Approach	
4.4.2.1 Experimental Approach	
4.5 Test Results	
CHAPTER 5 DEICING EXPERIMENTS WITH CONDUCTIVE CONCRETE OVERLAY 5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Conductive Concrete Overlay Construction	
5.2.1 The Concrete Slabs.	
5.2.2 The Conductive Concrete Mix.	
5.2.3 Instrumentation	
5.2.3.1 Thermocouples	
5.2.3.2 Weather Station.	
5.2.3.3. AC Power Supply	
5.2.3.4. Data Acquisition System	
5.3 Deicing and Anti-icing Experiments	

	5.3.1 Anti-icing Experiments
	5.3.2 Deicing Experiments
	5.3.3 Energy Consumption and Costs
5.4	Design Issues
	5.4.1 Electrical Conduction Model Validation
	5.4.2 High Voltage and Safety Concerns.
	5.4.3 Thermal Stresses Due to Overlay Heating
	5.4.4 Interface Shear Between Bridge Deck and Overlay
	5.4.5 Minimum Temperature Reinforcement in an Overlay
	5.4.6 Effect of Slab Geometry on Heating Rate
5.5	Electric Resistance Heating of Conductive Concrete
	5.5.1 Coupled-field Governing Differential Equations
	5.5.2 Transient Thermal Finite Element Analysis
	5.5.3 Comparison of Results
	TER 6 AN IMPLEMENTATION OF CONDUCTIVE CONCRETE OVERLAY
	Background.
	Conductive Concrete Utilizing Carbon and Graphite Products
6.3	Test Results.
	6.3.1 Workability, Finishability and Compressive Strength
	6.3.2 Heating Rate.
	6.3.3 Electric Resistivity.
6.4	6.3.4 Long-term Stability of Electric Resistivity
6.4	Implementation Project – Roca Spur Bridge
	6.4.1 Construction Sequence
	6.4.2 Integration of Power Supply, Sensors and Control Circuits
	6.4.3 Deicing Operation.
	6.4.4 Construction Costs
CHAP	TER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
7.1	Conclusions

7.2 Recommendation for Future Research.	144
REFERENCE	145

ABSTRACT

Traditionally, removing ice from pavement can be accomplished by a combination of several methods, such as plowing, natural melting, traffic movement, and chemical treatment. Because the bond between ice and pavement is strong, removal by plowing alone is not effective. Chemical treatment helps break the bond by melting into the ice and spreading under the ice layer. Most highway winter maintenance depends on using chemicals and fine granular particles as a primary means for deicing. However, using deicing chemicals and salt has caused damage to concrete and corrosion of reinforcing bars in concrete bridge decks which is partially responsible for the rapid deterioration of the transportation infrastructure in the U.S. The search for improved deicing methods has been a research focus for quite some time. The use of electric heating cables and heated fluid in pipes have been attempted, however, those techniques were too expensive to operate and difficult to maintain.

Conductive concrete is produced by adding electrically conductive components to a regular concrete mix to attain stable electrical conductivity. Due to its electrical resistance, a thin layer of conductive concrete can generate enough heat to prevent ice formation on concrete pavement when connected to a power source. A concrete mix containing 1.5 percent of steel fibers and 25 percent of steel shavings by volume was developed specifically for concrete bridge deck deicing. The mix has adequate strength and provides a thermal power density of 600 W/m², producing a heating rate of 0.25°C/min under subfreezing temperature. The average energy cost was about \$0.8/m² per snow storm. A comparison of conductive concrete technology against other deicing technologies in the literature has revealed that it has the potential to become the most cost-effective deicing technology in the future.

This research project has national and international implications. Statistics indicate that 10 to 15 percent of all roadway accidents are directly related to weather conditions. This percentage alone represents thousands of human injuries and deaths and millions of dollars in property damage annually. Ice accumulation on paved surfaces is not merely a concern for motorists; ice accumulation on pedestrian walkways accounts for numerous personal injuries, due to slipping and falling. The conductive concrete deicing technology is readily available for implementation at accident-prone areas such as bridge overpasses, exit ramps, airport runways, street intersections, sidewalks, and driveways.

During development of the conductive concrete, several drawbacks about using steel shavings in the mix were noticed. Carbon and graphite products were subsequently used to replace steel shavings in the conductive concrete design. The electrical conductivity and the associated heating rate were improved with the carbon products. A conductive concrete deck has been implemented for deicing on a highway bridge at Roca, located about 24 km (15 miles) south of Lincoln, Nebraska. The Roca Spur Bridge has a 46 m long and 11 m wide conductive concrete deck overlay. The Roca Bridge project was let in December 2001 and construction completed in November 2002. The overlay has been instrumented with temperature and current sensors to provide data for heating performance monitoring during winter storms. Experimental data and operating costs are presented in this report.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	D-line cracking spreading from sidewalk joint	7
Figure 2-2	Spalling of concrete surface, exposing a reinforcing bar	7
Figure 2-3	Scaling of concrete slab surface	8
Figure 2-4	Styrofoam insulating layer used on graded subgrade	
	for flexible pavement in Michigan (1962)	16
Figure 2-5	Electric cables in the bridge approach roadway, New Jersey 1961	19
Figure 2-6	Deck heating system on approaches of a pedestrian overpass in	
	Lincoln, Nebraska, 1993.	20
Figure 2-7	Deck heating system in the Buffalo River Bridge, Amherst, Virgini	a,
	in November 1996	22
Figure 3-1	Steel shavings	32
Figure 3-2	Results from sieve analysis	33
Figure 3-3	Low carbon steel fibers	34
Figure 3-4	Typical conductive concrete mix	38
Figure 3-5	Surface finishability of conductive concrete	38
Figure 3-6	Steel plates are used for electrodes	40
Figure 3-7	Small conductive concrete slab heating test	40
Figure 3-8 (a) Slab heating test - 20% conductive material	41
Figure 3-8(b)	Slab heating test - 15 % conductive material	42
Figure 3-8(c)	Slab heating test - 15 % conductive material	43
Figure 3-9	Conductive concrete test specimens-Category 3 (stage two evaluation)	44

Figure 3-10	Slab under testing using DC-power	47
Figure 3-11	Slab heating tests	48
Figure 3-12	A model for conduction of electricity through conductive concrete	50
Figure 3-13	Conduction of electricity through conductive concrete	
	– model parameters	50
Figure 3-14	Power consumption – AC vs. DC	51
Figure 3-15(a	a) 1 ft x 1ft x 2 in. slabs	52
Figure 3-15(l	o) 3 ft x 2ft x 3.5 in. slabs	53
Figure 3-16	Smooth surface between the steel plate and concrete surface	54
Figure 3-17(a	a) Steel plate with opening	55
Figure 3-17(l	b) 1 in. steel plate welded on WWF with 0.5 in. spacing	55
Figure 3-17(c) 3/4 in. corrugated steel plate welded on steel posts	
	with 1.25 in. spacing.	56
Figure 3-18	Sawdust layer was used as insulation layer	56
Figure 4-1	Specimens in curing tank	59
Figure 4-2	400-kip compression testing machine	61
Figure 4-3	Compressive strength with time	62
Figure 4-4	Typical failure mode of concrete in compression	62
Figure 4-5	Test setup for stress-strain relationship	63
Figure 4-6	Stress-strain relations of conductive concrete	65
Figure 4-7	Modulus of elasticity of conductive concrete	65
Figure 4-8	Flexural strength – test setup	66
Figure 4-9	Flexural strength with time [using simple beam with center-point loading]	

	(ASTM C293-79 – AASHTO T 177-81)67
Figure 4-10	Freeze and thaw testing equipment
Figure 4-11	Freeze and thaw specimens during taking measurements69
Figure 4-12	Freeze and thaw specimens – before and after 312 cycles69
Figure 4-13	Specimens for shrinkage test
Figure 4-14	Shrinkage strain measurements
Figure 4-15	Shrinkage strain vs. ACI 209-97 predicted strain71
Figure 4-16	1-in. thick specimens for permeability test
Figure 4-17	Temperature-controlled box for permeability test
Figure 4-18	Permeability test specimen preparation
Figure 4-19	Specimens inside moisture-free desiccators
Figure 4-20(a)	Specimens stored in unsaturated air
Figure 4-20(b)	Saturated surface dry specimens
Figure 4-21(a)	Cylinders with sawdust mortar insulation layer79
Figure 4-21(b)	Thermal conductivity test specimen
Figure 4-22	Typical temperature distribution during
	thermal conductivity tests
Figure 5-1(a)	Slabs ready for casting the conventional concrete layer83
Figure 5-1(b)	6-in. conventional concrete slab
Figure 5-2(a)	Slab cross section
Figure 5-2(b)	Thermocouples and steel plates in the overlay85
Figure 5-3	Fiber reinforced plastic rebar used as shear studs86
Figure 5-4	Steel plates with opening were used as electrodes
Figure 5-5	Conductive concrete mix showed excellent workability and

	surface finishability
Figure 5-6	Slabs after finishing
Figure 5-7	Thermocouple locations in the insulation layer90
Figure 5-8(a)	Thermocouple locations in the 2m x 2m overlay91
Figure 5-8(b)	Thermocouple locations in the 1.2m x 3.6m overlay92
Figure 5-9(a)	Thermocouples placed at two levels
Figure 5-9(b)	Thermocouple layout before casting overlay93
Figure 5-10(a)	Temperature, humidity, and wind sensors
Figure 5-10(b)	An electronic weather station 94
Figure 5-11(a)	The VARIAC, transformer and amp-meter for power control95
Figure 5-11(b)	Slabs connected to power source
Figure 5-12	Anti-icing experiment – Feb. 11, 1999
Figure 5-13(a)	Slab before testing (Deicing experiment – Feb. 20, 1999)100
Figure 5-13(b)	Slab during testing (Deicing experiment – Feb. 20, 1999)101
Figure 5-14	Average anti-icing and deicing temperature data
Figure 5-15	Conduction of electricity in the 1.2m x 3.6m x 9cm conductive
	concrete overlay
Figure 5-16	Conductive concrete overlay model
Figure 5-17	Electric resistivity of the conductive concrete
Figure 5-18	Enthalpy-temperature curve for phase change of snow115
Figure 5-19	Deicing electric current data (under 420 V AC)118
Figure 5-20	Electrical resistance heating of conductive concrete overlay 119
Figure 5-21	Temperature time-histories in the snow layer
Figure 6-1	Electric resistivity vs. temperature – EC-All mix

Figure 6-2	Electric resistivity vs. temperature – Slag + 25% EL mix	128
Figure 6-3	Comparison of heating rates of trial mixes	130
Figure 6-4	Time effect on electric resistivity	131
Figure 6-5	Conductive concrete panel layout	132
Figure 6-6	Power chord and angle iron connection	133
Figure 6-7	Roca Bridge deck deicing – February 5, 2004	136
Figure 6-8	Ambient vs. average slab temperature	137
Figure 6-9	Average current-temperature relationship	138

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Comparison of deicing chemicals	15
Table 2.2	Comparison of different heating systems	23
Table 3.1	Conductive concrete mix design by Xie et al	31
Table 3.2	Steel fiber characteristics	33
Table 3.3	Materials used in the conductive concrete mixes	34
Table 3.4	Summary for test results – Optimization category 1 and 2	36
Table 3.5	First-stage test results – Category 3	37
Table 3.6	Compressive strength and modulus of rupture – Category 3	45
Table 3.7	Effect of hydration time on electric resistivity	46
Table 3.8	Long-term evaluation of the electric resistivity	47
Table 4.1	Summary of material testing	60
Table 4.2	Modulus of elasticity of conductive concrete	64
Table 4.3	Resistance of concrete to rapid freezing and thawing	
	ASTM C 666-92 -AASHTO (T161-93)	68
Table 4.4	Summary of the permeability tests	73
Table 4.5	Summary of the thermal conductivity tests	80
Table 4.6	Mechanical and physical properties of the conductive concrete	81
Table 5.1	Climatic data in anti-icing and deicing experiments	97
Table 5.2	Temperature range of anti-icing and deicing experiments	
	(Data from 3.6m by 1.2m slab)	102
Table 5.3	Energy consumption and costs (Data from 3.6m by 1.2m slab)	105

Table 5.4	Electrical and thermal properties used in the finite element	
	simulation	114
Table 6.1	Preliminary test results – carbon concrete	124
Table 6.2	Comparison of heating rate, operating voltage and peak current	125
Table 6.3	Electrical resistivity of carbon concrete trial mixes	127
Table 6.4	Deicing performance of Roca Spur Bridge	135